arXiv:1703.02657v1 [math.FA] 8 Mar 2017

ASSOCIATING VECTORS IN $\mathbb{C}^n$ WITH RANK 2 PROJECTIONS IN $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$: WITH APPLICATIONS

PETER G. CASAZZA AND DESAI CHENG

ABSTRACT. We will see that vectors in $\mathbb{C}^n$ have natural analogs as rank 2 projections in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and that this association transfers many vector properties into properties of rank two projections on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. We believe that this association will answer many open problems in $\mathbb{C}^n$ where the corresponding problem in $\mathbb{R}^n$ has already been answered - and vice versa. As a application, we will see that phase retrieval (respectively, phase retrieval by projections) in $\mathbb{C}^n$ transfers to a variation of phase retrieval by rank 2 projections (respectively, phase retrieval by projections) on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. As a consequence, we will answer the open problem: Give the complex version of Edidin's Theorem [12] which classifies when projections do phase retrieval in $\mathbb{R}^n$. As another application we answer a longstanding open problem concerning fusion frames by showing that fusion frames in $\mathbb{C}^n$ associate with fusion frames in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with twice the dimension. As another application, we will show that a family of mutually unbiased bases in $\mathbb{C}^n$ has a natural analog as a family of mutually unbiased rank 2 projections in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. The importance here is that there are very few real mutually unbiased bases but now there are unlimited numbers of real mutually unbiased rank 2 projections to be used in their place. As another application, we will give a variaton of Edidin's theorem which gives a surprising classification of norm retrieval. Finally, we will show that equiangular and biangular frames in $\mathbb{C}^n$ have an analog as equiangular and biangular rank 2 projections in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

1. Introduction

We will show that vectors in $\mathbb{C}^n$ have natural analogs as rank 2 projections in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. The strength of this association is that it carries norm properties with it. In particular, if $v, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with analogs in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ of v', w', let P_v, P_w be the associated rank 2 projections on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Then $|\langle v, w\rangle| = \|v\|\|P_v w'\|$ and if v, w are unit vectors then $tr\ P_v P_w = 2|\langle v, w\rangle|^2$. This allows us to move many properties from $\mathbb{C}^n$ to corresponding properties of rank 2 projections in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. In particular, families of mutually unbiased bases (MUBs) in $\mathbb{C}^n$ will associate with families of mutually unbiased rank 2 projections in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. The importance of this association is that there are very few mutually unbiased

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42C15.

The authors were supported by NSF DMS 1609760; NSF ATD 1321779; ARO W911NF-16-1-0008. Part of this reseaech was carried out while the authors were visiting the Hong Kong University of Science and Technology with support from a grant from (ICERM) Institute for Computational and Experimental Research in Mathematics.

bases in $\mathbb{R}^n$. It is known that in $\mathbb{C}^n$ there are at most $n+1$ MUBs and in $\mathbb{R}^n$ there are at most $\frac{n}{2}+1$ MUBs [10]. But these limits are rarely reached. It is known that the limit is reached in $\mathbb{R}^n$ if $n = 4^p$ and the limit is reached in $\mathbb{C}^n$ if $n = p^t$ where p is a prime (see [7] for n=p, and [23] for p^t). We now see that there are substantially more cases where $\mathbb{R}^n$ reaches its maximum for mutually unbiased rank two projections. For researchers who can live with mutually unbiased rank 2 projections instead of mutually unbiased bases, they now have a large number of possible families to work with.

This association carries fusion frames in $\mathbb{C}^n$ to fusion frames in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with the same fusion frame bounds. Using this we will answer a longstanding problem in fusion frame theory by showing that for every $m \geq n$, there is a tight fusion frame of m 2-dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

We will also see that this association transfers equiangular and biangular tight frames into equiangular and biangular tight fusion frames.

This association will also carry with it the notion of phase retrieval in $\mathbb{C}^n$. In certain engineering applications, the phase of a signal is lost during collection and processing. This gave rise to a need for methods to recover the phase of a signal. Phase retrieval in engineering is over 100 years old and has application to a large number of areas including speech recognition [5, 16, 17], and applications such as X-ray crystallography [4, 13, 14]. The concept of *phase retrieval* for Hilbert space frames was introduced in 2006 by Balan, Casazza, and Edidin [2] and since then it has become an active area of research.

Phase retrieval has been defined for projections as well as for vectors. *Phase retrieval by projections* occur in real life problems, such as crystal twinning [11], where the signal is projected onto some lower dimensional subspaces and has to be recovered from the norms of the projections of the vectors onto the subspaces. We refer the reader to [9] for a detailed study of phase retrieval by projections. Phase retrieval has been significantly generalized in [22].

A fundamental result concerning phase retrieval by projections due to Edidin [12] is that a family of projections $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$ does phase retrieval in $\mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if for all $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the vectors $\{P_i x\}_{i=1}^m$ span $\mathbb{R}^n$. It has been an open question whether there is a complex analog to this theorem. We will answer this question in this paper. First, we will show that complex phase retrieval by vectors in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is equivalent to a problem of real phase retrieval by rank two projections in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Next, we will give a new *geometric* proof of this theorem. Finally, we combine these two techniques to give the complex analog of Edidin's Theorem.

Finally, we will give a classification of norm retrieval which is an analog of Edidin's theorem.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we will introduce the concepts which will be used throughout the paper. For notation, we write $\mathbb{H}^n$ for a real or complex n-dimensional Euclidean space. If we need to restrict ourselves to one of these choices, we will write $\mathbb{R}^n$ or $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Note: We will rely heavily on the fact that given $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x - y \perp x + y$ if and only if $\|x\| = \|y\|$.

Definition 2.1. *A family of vectors $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^m$ is a* **frame** *for $\mathbb{H}^n$ if there are constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ so that*

$$A\|x\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\langle x, v_i\rangle|^2 \leq B\|x\|^2, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{H}^n.$$

If $A = B$ this is a **tight frame** *and if $A = B = 1$ it is a* **Parseval frame**.

We will be working with phase retrieval and phase retrieval by projections.

Definition 2.2. *A family of vectors $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^m$ does* **phase retrieval** *on $\mathbb{H}^n$ if whenever $x, y \in \mathbb{H}^n$ satisfy*

$$|\langle x, v_i\rangle| = |\langle y, v_i\rangle|, \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \ldots, m,$$

we have that $x = cy$ for some $|c| = 1$.

We say a family of subspaces $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^m$ (or their orthogonal projections $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$) on $\mathbb{H}^n$ do **phase retrieval** *if whenever $x, y \in \mathbb{H}^n$ satisfy*

$$\|P_i x\| = \|P_i y\|, \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \ldots, m,$$

then $x = cy$ for some $|c| = 1$.

Remark 2.3. We will find it convenient to work with the contrapositive. I.e. $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$ does phase retrieval if and only if whenever $x \neq cy$ for any $|c| = 1$, there is a $1 \leq j \leq m$ so that $\|P_j x\| \neq \|P_j y\|$. Also, whenever $\|P_j x\| \neq \|P_j y\|$, for some j, we say that $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^m$ **distinguishes between** x, y.

We now have:

Lemma 2.4. *Given a set of projections $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^m$ on $\mathbb{H}^n$, the set of vectors they cannot distinguish are those v, w for which $v - w \perp P_j(v + w)$.*

Proof. We compute:

$$\langle v - w, P_j(v + w)\rangle = \langle P_j v - P_j w, P_j v + P_j w\rangle = \|P_j v\|^2 - \|P_j w\|^2.$$

So $v - w \perp P_j(v + w)$ if and only if $\|P_j v\| = \|P_j w\|$. □

We will need the complement property for families of vectors.

Definition 2.5. *A family of vectors $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^m$ in $\mathbb{H}^n$ has the* **complement property** *if whenever $I \subset \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$, either span $\{v_i\}_{i \in I} = \mathbb{H}^n$ or span $\{v_i\}_{i \in I^c} = \mathbb{H}^n$.*

A fundamental result in this area [2] is:

Theorem 2.6. *If vectors $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^m$ do phase retrieval in $\mathbb{H}^n$ then they have the complement property.*

It was also shown in [2] that a family of vectors with complement property in $\mathbb{R}^n$ does phase retrieval but this implication does not hold in $\mathbb{C}^n$. A family of vectors $\{v_i\}_{i-=1}^m$ is **full spark** if for every $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ with $|I| = n$, span $\{v_i\}_{i\in I} = \mathbb{H}^n$. So a full spark family with $m \geq 2n-1$ has the complement property.

Edidin [12] gave a fundamental classification of phase retrieval by projections for $\mathbb{R}^n$ in terms of the spans of $\{P_i x\}_{i=1}^m$, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 2.7 (Edidin)**.** *Let $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^m$ (with respective projections $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$) be subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^n$. The following are equivalent:*

(1) *$\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$ does phase retrieval.*
(2) *For every $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, span $\{P_i x\}_{i=1}^m = \mathbb{R}^n$.*

The corresponding result for frames (I.e. rank one projections) has been done in [1]. The necessity of the condition for frames also appeared in [3]. For $\mathbb{C}^n$, (2) does not imply (1) in the theorem in general. For example, if $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^5$ is a full spark family of vectors in $\mathbb{C}^3$, then it has complement property and so if P_i is the projection onto span v_i then for every $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{C}^3$, span $\{P_i x\}_{i=1}^5 = \mathbb{C}^3$. But any family doing phase retrieval in $\mathbb{C}^3$ must contain at least 8 vectors [12]. However, (1) does imply (2) in $\mathbb{C}^n$ [3].

Theorem 2.8. *If projections $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$ do phase retrieval on $\mathbb{H}^n$ then for every $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{H}^n$, span $\{P_i x\}_{i=1}^m = \mathbb{H}^n$.*

Proof. We will prove the contrapositive. So assume there is an $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{H}^n$ so that span $\{P_i x\}_{i=1}^m \neq \mathbb{H}^n$. Choose $0 \neq y \in \mathbb{H}^n$ so that $y \perp P_i x$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Then

$$\langle P_i x, y\rangle = \langle P_i x, P_i y\rangle = 0, \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Let $w = x + y$ and $v = x - y$. Then for all i,

$$\|P_i w\|^2 = \|P_i x + P_i y\|^2 = \|P_i x\|^2 + \|P_i y\|^2 = \|P_i x - P_i y\|^2 = \|P_i v\|^2.$$

But, $w \neq cv$ for any $|c| = 1$. Since if $w = cv$ then either $c = \pm 1$ and so either x=0 or y=0, or $x = \frac{1+c}{1-c} y$ which combined with $P_i x \perp P_i y$ implies again x=0. I.e. $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$ fails to do phase retrieval. □

3. A New Proof of Edidin's Theorem

In this section we establish a new proof of Theorem 2.7. This proof is **geometric** and it will allow us to generalize it to the complex case. It will also direct us to introduce a natural association between vectors in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and two dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

To give our geometric proof of Theorem 2.7, we will need a sequence of results.

Lemma 3.1. *Given a subspace S of dimension d in $\mathbb{R}^n$, for any point x, either S is in the orthogonal complement of x or there exists a subspace S' of dimension d-1 contained in S that is also contained in the orthogonal complement of x.*

Proof. Let C be the orthogonal complement of x in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then C is a hyperplane so its intersection with S is either all of S or a subspace of dimension $d-1$. □

From the above it is clear that for any point x either S is orthogonal to x or there exists an orthonormal basis $b_1, ...b_d$ of S such that b_1 is not orthogonal to x but the rest of the elements in the orthonormal basis are orthogonal to x.

Remark 3.2. Given two real numbers a and b, $|a| = |b|$ if and only if $a = b$ or $a = -b$. Hence given two vectors v and w, $| < x, v > | = | < x, w > |$ if and only if x is orthogonal to $v - w$ or $v + w$.

Another simple observation we will heavily use is:

Lemma 3.3. *Given two vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{H}^n$, letting $v = \frac{x-y}{2}$ and $w = \frac{x+y}{2}$, we have that $w + v = x$ and $w - v = y$.*

The next theorem is a variation of the argument of Theorem 8.3.

Theorem 3.4. *Given a family of subspaces $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^m$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respective projections $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$, and a point $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $M = span\ \{P_i x\}_{i=1}^m$. For any $y \in M^\perp$, we have for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, $\|P_i(v)\| = \|P_i(w)\|$ for all w and v such that $w + v = x$ and $w - v = y$.*

Proof. Given any S_i, if $x = w + v \perp S_i$ then $P_i w = -P_i v$ and so $\|P_i(w)\| = \|P_i(v)\|$. If S_i is not orthogonal to x then as mentioned above there exists an orthonormal basis $b_1, ...b_d$ of S_i such that b_1 is not orthogonal to x but the rest of the vectors are orthogonal to x. Hence the projection of x onto S_i, $P_i x$, is a nonzero scalar multiple of b_1, $< w, b_1 > \neq - < v, b_1 >$ and for all $2 \leq i \leq d$, $< w, b_i > = - < v, b_i >$. Hence $\|P_i(v)\| = \|P_i(w)\|$ if and only if $< w, b_1 > = < v, b_1 >$. But, this happens only if $w - v$ is orthogonal to b_1.

Considering the above argument over all i we see if we pick any point $y \in M^\perp$ such that $w + v = x$ and $w - v = y$, then for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, $\|P_i(v)\| = \|P_i(w)\|$. □

Theorem 3.5. *Given the assumptions of Theorem 3.4, given $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if we can pick w and v such that $w + v = x$ and $w - v = y$ are not orthogonal to M then for some i, $\|P_i(v)\| \neq \|P_i(w)\|$*

Proof. Since M was the span of all the $\{P_i x\}_{i=1}^m$ then for some i, $w - v$ is not orthogonal to $P_i(x)$. Hence for some orthonormal basis $b_1, ...b_d$ of S_i we have $< w, b_1 > \neq < v, b_1 >$ and $< w, b_1 > \neq - < v, b_1 >$ (by construction) and for all other i, $< w, b_1 > = - < v, b_1 >$. Clearly $\|P_i(v)\| \neq \|P_i(w)\|$ by the Pythagorean theorem. □

We now prove Edidin's Theorem [12].

Theorem 3.6. *If a set of subspaces $\{S_i\}_{i=1}^m$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with respective projections $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$ does not do real phase retrieval then for some $0 \neq x$, span $\{P_i(x)\}_{i=1}^m \neq \mathbb{R}^n$.*

Proof. Suppose there exists v and w, $v \neq \pm w$ such that for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, $\|P_i v\| = \|P_i w\|$. Clearly $w+v$ and $w-v$ are nonzero. By the above theorem choose $w + v$ to be x. Clearly $w - v$ must be orthogonal to *span* $\{P_i x\}_{i=1}^m$ by Theorem 3.5. □

The other direction of Theorem 2.7 is Theorem 2.8.

4. Turning vectors in $\mathbb{C}^n$ into rank 2 projections on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$

We need a piece of notation.

Notation 4.1. *For the rest of the paper, for a complex vector $v = (a_1 + ib_1, ...a_n + ib_n)$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ let $v' = (a_1, b_1, ..., a_n, b_n)$ and $v'' = (-b_1, a_1, ..., -b_n, a_n)$ be vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. We will write $S_v = span\ \{v', v''\}$ and P_v as the rank 2 projection of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ onto S_v.*

The following are immediate from the definition.

Proposition 4.2. *Given vectors $v = (a_1 + ib_1, \ldots, a_n + ib_n$ and $w = (a_1' + ib_1', \ldots, a_n' + b_n')$. The following hold:*

(1) *we have*
$$v' \perp v'' \text{ and } \|v\|^2 = \|v'\|^2.$$
(2) *We have*
$$\langle w', v' \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j a_j' + b_j b_j') \text{ and } \langle w', v'' \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n (a_j b_j' - a_j' b_j).$$
(3) *We have*
$$\langle w'', v'' \rangle = \langle w', v' \rangle.$$
(4) *We have*
$$\langle w, v \rangle = \langle w', v' \rangle + i \langle w', v'' \rangle.$$
(5) *It follows that if $\|v\| = 1$ then*
$$|\langle v, w \rangle| = |\langle w', v' \rangle + i \langle w', v'' \rangle| = \sqrt{|\langle w', v' \rangle|^2 + |\langle w', v'' \rangle|^2} = \|P_v w'\|.$$
(6) *If $\|v\| \neq 1$ then*
$$|\langle v, w \rangle| = \|v\| \|P_v w'\|.$$
(7) $(iw)' = w''$.
(8) *Given $z = (a_1, b_1 a_2, b_2, \ldots, a_n, b_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $z = v'$ where $v = (a_1 + ib_1, \ldots, a_n + ib_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Hence, $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \{v' : v \in \mathbb{C}^n\}$.*

Corollary 4.3. *If $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^m$ is an orthonormal set of vectors in $\mathbb{C}^n$ then $\{v_j', v_j''\}_{j=1}^m$ is an orthonormal set of vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.*

Proof. This is immediate by (1) and (4) in Proposition 4.2. □

As a consequence:

Corollary 4.4. *Given complex vectors v, w_1, w_2 let P be the projection onto S_v. The following are equivalent:*

(1) $| < w_1, v > | = | < w_2, v > |$.
(2) $\|Pw_1'\| = \|Pw_2'\|$.

In particular if $w_1 = cw_2$ where $c \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $|c| = 1$ then $\|Pw_1'\| = \|Pw_2'\|$.

Lemma 4.5. *The rotation (multiplication by a unit complex scalar $\cos\theta + i\sin\theta$) of a complex vector $w = (a_1' + ib_1', ...a_n' + ib_n')$ is the same as taking $\cos(\theta)w + \sin(\theta)iw$.*

Hence

$$(\cos(\theta)w + \sin(\theta)iw)' = \cos(\theta)w' + \sin(\theta)w''.$$

Remark 4.6. It follows that the vectors obtained by multiplying w by any unit norm complex scalar would associate with the points on the circle of radius $\|w\|$ in S_w

Theorem 4.7. *Given any nonzero vector v, and complex scalar $c = a+bi \neq 0$, $[(a+ib)v]' = av' + bv''$ and we have that $S_v = S_{cv}$.*

Proof. Let $V = span\ \{(cv)', (cv)''\}$. We will show that $S_v = V$. We first show V is contained in S_v We know v identifies with v' and iv identifies with v'' hence both v' and $(iv)'$ are in S_v. Next, given any vector of the form $av' + bv''$ we will show that $av + ibv$ identifies with this vector. Clearly $av + ibv = a(a_1 + ib_1, ...a_n + ib_n) + b(-b_1 + ia_1, ... - b_n + ia_n)$ which identifies with $av' + bv'' = a(a_1, b_1, ...a_n, b_n) + b(-b_1, a_1, ... - b_n, a_n)$ Hence V is contained in S_v

Now given a complex scalar $(a + bi)$. As shown above $(a + bi)v$ identifies with $av' + bv''$ which is a vector in V. Hence S_v is contained in V. It follows that $S_v = V$. □

We may define an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus 0$ by saying two vectors are *equivalent* if and only if one is a complex scalar multiple of the other. It is clear that two vectors v and w are in the same equivalence class if and only if $S_v = S_w$.

Theorem 4.8. *For any two subspaces S_v and S_w, if $S_v \bigcap S_w \neq \{0\}$ then $S_v = S_w$. Moreover, if $v \perp w$ then $S_v \perp S_w$.*

Proof. Assume there is a $0 \neq x$ with $x' \in S_v \bigcap S_w \neq 0$. Then we have that $x = av$ and $x = bw$ for some nonzero complex scalars a and b and hence $v = a^{-1}bw$. From the above v and w are in the same equivalence class and so $S_v = S_w$.

The moreover part follows from Corollary 4.3. □

Putting this altogether,

Theorem 4.9. *Given a set of complex vectors $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^m \in \mathbb{C}^n$ which do phase retrieval in $\mathbb{C}^n$, if we identify $\mathbb{C}^n$ with $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, for any vector w, the only points that cannot be distinguished from w' by projections onto S_{v_i} are any points on the circle of radius $\|w\|$ in the subspace S_w spanned by w' and w''.*

5. Complex Phase Retrieval and Rank Two Projections

If we let $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be the projections onto S_{v_i}, we see for any nonzero point x and any $c \in \mathbb{R}\backslash 0$, *span* $\{P_i x\} =$ *span* $\{P_i(cx)\}_{i=1}^m$. Hence when looking at the span of the projections we will identify a point with any scalar multiple of it. We see then that y is in the orthogonal complement of the span if and only if cy is in the orthogonal complement for any $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$. Hence when we look at vectors in the orthogonal complement we will identify a vector y with any scalar multiple of it.

Theorem 5.1. *Given a vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$, let $w = iv$ and $m = (\cos(\frac{\pi}{4}) + i\sin(\frac{\pi}{4}))v$. Then $v + w = \sqrt{2}m$, and $v' + w' = \sqrt{2}m'$.*

Proof. $v + w = (i+1)v = \sqrt{2}(\cos(\frac{\pi}{4}) + i\sin(\frac{\pi}{4}))v = \sqrt{2}m$ □

Corollary 5.2. *For every nonzero $m' \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ there exists nonzero v' and w' such that $w = iv$ (hence $w' \neq v'$) and $v' + w' = m'$*

Proof. This follows from the theorem above by taking $v = \dfrac{\cos(\frac{\pi}{4}) - i\sin(\frac{\pi}{4})}{\sqrt{2}}m$. □

Proposition 5.3. *Given a vector $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with projection P onto S_v, for every $w' \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $Pw' \perp w''$.*

Proof. By Corollary 5.2, there exist $0 \neq x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ so that $x = iy$ and $x' + y' = w'$. Hence, $\|x'\| = \|y'\|$ and $|\langle x, v\rangle| = |\langle y, v\rangle|$. So $y' \in S_x$. Hence, $w' \in S_x$ and so by Theorem 4.8, $S_w = S_x$. Now,

$$\langle x' - y', x' + y'\rangle = \|x'\| - \|y'\| = 0 \text{ and } x' - y' \in S_w.$$

But, w'' (and its multiples) are the only vector in S_w orthogonal to w'. So $w'' = x' - y' \perp P(x' + y') = Pw'$. □

Corollary 5.4. *Given vectors $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^m$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ with projections $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^m$ onto $\{S_{v_j}\}_{j=1}^m$ and given any nonzero $w' \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ let $M =$ span $\{P_j w'\}_{j=1}^m$. Then $w'' \perp M$.*

Theorem 5.5. *Given vectors $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^m$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ which do phase retrieval with projections $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^m$ onto $\{S_{v_j}\}_{j=1}^m$ and given any nonzero $w' \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ let $M =$ span $\{P_j w'\}_{j=1}^m$. Then $M^\perp =$ span$\{w''\}$.*

Proof. Given $v' \in M^\perp$, there exists two vectors x' and y' with $x' + y' = w'$ and $x' - y' = v'$. It follows that

$$0 = \langle P_j(x' + y'), x' - y'\rangle = \langle P_j(x' + y'), P_j(x' - y')\rangle = \|P_j x'\|^2 - \|P_j y'\|^2.$$

So $\|P_j x'\| = \|P_j y'\|$ and by Corollary 4.4, we have that $|\langle x', v_j\rangle| = |\langle y', v_j\rangle|$ for all $j = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Therefore, since we have phase retrieval, $x = cy$ for some $|c| = 1$ and hence $y' \in S_x$ and $\|y'\| = \|x'\| \neq 0$. Since S_x is a subspace $x' + y' = w', x' - y' \in S_x$. By Theorem 4.8 $S_w = S_x$. But, $\{w', w''\}$ is an orthonormal basis for S_w and $x' + y' = w' \perp x' - y'$. It follows that $x' - y'$ is a multiple of w''. Hence, $w'' \perp M$ and its scalar multiples are the only vectors orthogonal to M. □

Now we will see how to reformulate complex phase retrieval by vectors in $\mathbb{C}^n$ into a variant of real phase retrieval for our class of rank two projections on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

Theorem 5.6. *Given a set of complex vectors $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^m \in \mathbb{C}^n$ let $\{P_j\}_{j=1}^m$ be the corresponding projections onto S_{v_j}. The following are equivalent:*

1. *$\{v_j\}_{j=1}^n$ does phase retrieval.*
2. *For each point $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, x'' is the only vector in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ orthogonal to $M = span\ \{P_i x'\}_{i=1}^m$.*
3. *For each point $x' \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, $M = span\ \{P_i x'\}_{i=1}^m$ is a hyperplane.*

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2): This is Theorem 5.5.

(2) ⇒ (1): Choose vectors v, w with

$$|\langle v, v_i\rangle| = |\langle w, v_i\rangle| \tag{1}$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. We first need to observe that $\langle v, v_i\rangle \neq 0$ for some i. For otherwise we would have that $v' \in M^\perp$ and since $v' \perp v'' \in M^\perp$, this contradicts our assumption that $dim\ M^\perp = 1$. By Corollary 4.4, $\|P_i v'\| = \|P_i w'\|$. It follows that

$$\langle P_i(v' + w'), v' - w'\rangle = \langle P_i(v' + w'), P_i(v' - w')\rangle = \|P_i v'\|^2 - \|P_i w'\|^2 = 0.$$

Hence, $v' - w' \perp P_i x' = P_i(v' + w')$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. By assumption (2), $v' - w' = cw'' \in S_w$ and so $v' \in S_w$. By Theorem 4.8, $S_v = S_w$ and so $v = cw$ for some c. Now by Equation 1, $|c| = 1$.

(2) ⇔ (3): This is clear. □

Corollary 5.7. *Let $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^m$ do phase retrieval on $\mathbb{C}^n$ and for $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ let $I = \{i : \langle x, v_i\rangle \neq 0\}$. Then*

1. *$|I| \geq 2n - 1$.*
2. *$span\ \{v_i\}_{i\in I} = \mathbb{C}^n$.*

Proof. (1) We proceed by way of contradiction. Let P_i be the rank two projection in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ onto S_{v_i}. If $|I| \leq 2n - 2$, then for $i \in I^c$, $\|P_i x'\| = |\langle x, v_i\rangle| = 0$. It follows that $|\{i : P_i x' \neq 0\}| \leq 2n - 2$ and hence $\{P_i x'\}_{i=1}^m$ does not span a hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ contradicting Theorem 5.6.

(2) Let P_i be the rank two projection in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ onto S_{v_i}. We proceed by way of contradiction. So assume there exists $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $y \perp v_i$ for all $i \in I$. Then, $P_i y' = 0$ for all $i \in I$. Since $y'' = (iy)'$, it follows that $P_i y'' = 0$ for all $i \in I$. Also, $P_i x = 0$ for all $i \in I^c$. So

$$M = span\ \{P_i x'\}_{i=1}^m = span\ \{P_i x'\}_{i\in I}.$$

It follows that $y', y'' \perp M$ and so M is not a hyperplane in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ contradicting Theorem 5.6.

□

Corollary 5.8. *If $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^{4n-4}$ does phase retrieval in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $I \subset [4n-4]$ with $|I| = 2n-2$, then $\{v_i\}_{i\in I}$ and $\{v_i\}_{i\in I^c}$ both span $\mathbb{C}^n$.*

To prove the complex analog of Theorem 2.7, we need a result.

Proposition 5.9. *Let W be a d-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then there is a 2d-dimensional subspace V of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ so that for every orthonormal basis $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^d$ for W we have span $\{S_{v_i}\}_{i=1}^d = V$. Recall that the $\{S_{v_i}\}_{i=1}^m$ is an orthogonal set of 2-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.*

Proof. Choose any orthonormal basis $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^d$ for W and let $V = span\ \{S_{v_i}\}_{i=1}^m$. We want to show that for any other orthonormal basis $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^m$ for W, we have *span* $\{S_{w_i}\}_{i=1}^m = V$. It suffices to show that for any $w \in W$, $w', w'' \in V$. If we write $w = \sum_{j=1}^d (a+bi)v_i$, by Theorem 5.6 we have

$$w' = \sum_{j=1}^d [(a_j + ib_j)v_j]' = \sum_{j=1}^m [a_j v_j' + b_j v_j''] \in V.$$

Since $w'' = (iw)'$, the same argument shows that $w'' \in V$. □

We will need one more preliminary result.

Proposition 5.10. *Let W be a subspace of $\mathbb{C}^n$ of dimension d, let $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^d$ be an orthonormal basis of W and let $V = span\ \{S_{v_i}\}_{i=1}^d$ be the induced subspace in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Let Q (respectively P) be the projection onto W (respectively V). Then for all $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $\|Qx\| = \|Px'\|$.*

Proof. Let P_i be the projections onto S_{v_i}. For any i, $|\langle x, v_i\rangle| = \|P_i x'\|$ and so

$$\|Qx\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d |\langle x, v_i\rangle|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^d \|P_i x'\|^2 = \|Px'\|^2.$$

□

Now we give the complex analog of Edidin's Theorem [12].

Theorem 5.11. *Let $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^n$ with projections $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^m$ and let $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be the corresponding subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ given in Proposition 5.9 with projections $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$. The following are equivalent:*

1. *$\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^m$ does phase retrieval.*
2. *For every $w' \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$, if $M = span\ \{P_i w'\}_{i=1}^m$ then $M^\perp = span\ \{w''\}$.*

Proof. (1) ⇒ (2): Given $v' \in M^\perp$, there exist two vectors x', y' so that $x' + y' = w'$ and $x' - y' = v'$. Now,

$$0 = \langle P_i(x'+y'), x'-y'\rangle = \langle P_i x' + P_i y', P_i x' - P_i y'\rangle = \|P_i x'\|^2 - \|P_i y'\|^2.$$

By Proposition 5.10, $\|Q_i x\| = \|Q_i y\|$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. Since $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^m$ does phase retrieval, we have that $x = cy$ for some $|c| = 1$ and $\|x'\| = \|y'\|$. Since S_x is a subspace $x' + y' = w', x' - y' \in S_x$. By Theorem 4.8 $S_w = S_x$. But, $\{w', w''\}$ is an orthonormal basis for S_w and $x' + y' = w' \perp x' - y'$. It follows that $x' - y'$ is a multiple of w''. Hence, $w'' \perp M$ and its scalar multiples are the only vectors orthogonal to M.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Assume $v, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\|Q_i v\| = \|Q_i w\|$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$. By Proposition 5.10, $\|P_i v'\| = \|P_i w'\|$ for all i. Now,

$$\langle P_i(v' + w'), v' - w' \rangle = \langle P_i v' + P_i w', P_i v' - P_i w' \rangle = \|P_i v'\|^2 - \|P_i w'\|^2 = 0.$$

By our assumption in (2), $v' - w' = c(v'' + w'')$. It follows that $S_v = S_w$ and $v' - cv'' = w' + cw''$. Since $\|v'\| = \|v''\|$, $\|w'\| = \|w''\|$, $v' \perp v''$, $w' \perp w''$, and $v' - cv'' = w' + cw'$, a little geometry shows that $|c| = 1$ and so $v = dw$ for some $|d| = 1$. □

6. Mutually Unbiased Bases

In this section, we will see that for a family of mutually unbiased bases in $\mathbb{C}^n$ their corresponding rank 2 projections in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ are mutually unbiased.

Definition 6.1. *Two orthonormal bases $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$, $\{e_i'\}_{i=1}^n$ for $\mathbb{H}^n$ are said to be* **mutually unbiased** *if*

$$|\langle e_i, e_j' \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{n}, \text{ for all } i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n.$$

We first need a lemma.

Proposition 6.2. *Given v, w be unit vectors in $\mathbb{C}^n$ let P, Q be the rank 2 projections in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ onto S_v, S_w. Then, $tr\ (PQ) = 2|\langle v, w \rangle|^2$.*

Proof. We note that $P = v'v'^* + v''v''^*$ and $Q = w'w'^* + w''w''^*$. Now we compute using Proposition 4.2 (5):

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle P, Q \rangle &= tr\ (PQ) \\
&= tr\ (v'v'^* + v''v''^*)(w'w'^* + w''w''^*) \\
&= tr\ (v'v'^*w'w'^*) + tr\ (v'v'^*w''w''^*) + tr\ (v''v''^*w'w'^*) + tr\ v''v''^*w''w''^*) \\
&= |\langle v', w' \rangle|^2 + |\langle v', w'' \rangle|^2 + |\langle v'', w' \rangle|^2 + |\langle v'', w'' \rangle|^2 \\
&= \|Pwv'\|^2 + \|P_w v''\|^2 \\
&= |\langle v, w \rangle|^2 + |\langle iv, w \rangle|^2 \\
&= 2|\langle v, w \rangle|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 6.3. *If $\{v_{ij}\}_{j=1}^n$ are mutually unbiased orthonormal bases for $\mathbb{C}^n$, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$, then the rank 2 projections $\{P_{ij}\}_{i=1,j=1}^{n,\ k}$ onto $\{S_{v_{ij}}\}_{i=1,j=1}^{n,\ k}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ are mutually unbiased.*

Proof. By Corollary 4.3, for each i, $\{S_{v_{ij}}\}_{j=1}^n$ is an orthogonal family of two dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. Hence, for $j \neq k$,

$$\langle P_{ij}, P_{ik}\rangle = tr\ P_{ij}P_{ik} = 0.$$

Also, by Proposition 6.2, if $i \neq k$,

$$\langle P_{ij}, P_{k,l}\rangle = tr\ P_{ij}P_{kl} == 2|\langle v_{ij}, v_{kl}\rangle|^2 = \frac{2}{n}.$$

□

7. Fusion Frames

In this section, we will apply our association of vectors in $\mathbb{C}^n$ to rank two projections in $\mathbb{R}^n$ to answer a longstanding problem in fusion frame theory. This topic was introduced in [8]. Fusion frames have application to dimension reduction and Grassmannian packings [15].

Definition 7.1. *Given a family of subspaces $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^m$ with respective projections $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ or $\mathbb{C}^n$, and given $a_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, we say $(W_i, a_i)_{i=1}^m$ (respectively, $(P_i, a_i)_{i=1}^m$) is a* **fusion frame** *with fusion frame bounds $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ if for all vectors v we have:*

$$A\|v\|^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2\|P_iv\|^2 \leq B\|v\|^2.$$

We start by showing that frames in $\mathbb{C}^n$ will associate with fusion frames of 2-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

Theorem 7.2. *Let $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be a frame in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and let $W_i = S_{v_i}$ with orthogonal projection P_i for $i \in [m]$. The following are equivalent:*

1. *$\{v_i\}_{i=1}^m$ has frame bounds A, B.*
2. *$(W_i, \|v_i\|)_{i=1}^m$ is a fusion frame of two dimensional subspaces for $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with fusion frame bounds A, B.*

Proof. This is immediate since given $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |\langle w, v_i\rangle|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \|v_i'\|^2\|P_iw'\|^2.$$

□

It is exceptionally difficult to construct tight fusion frames. Especially since they often do not exist. For example, there does not exist a tight fusion frame for $\mathbb{R}^3$ consisting of two 2-dimensional subspaces. To see this, let $(W_i, a_i)_{i=1}^2$ be a fusion frame for $\mathbb{R}^3$ with associated projections $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^2$. Let $x \in W_1 \cap W_2$. Then

$$a_1^2\|P_1x\|^2 + a_2^2\|P_2x\|^2 = (a_1^2 + b_1^2)\|x\|^2.$$

But, if $x \in W_1$ but not in W_2 then $\|P_2x\|^2 < \|x\|^2$ and so

$$a_1^2\|P_1x\|^2 + a_2^2\|P_2x\|^2 < (a_1^2 + b_1^2)\|x\|^2.$$

I.e. This fusion frame is not tight. It is believed that this problem occurs over and over with odd numbers of two dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^3$ as well as occuring in $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ for all n. It has been a longstanding open problem whether this is a problem of *odd dimensions* or does this problem show up in even dimensions also. I.e. Is there a tight fusion frame of m 2-dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ for all n and all $m \geq n$. We see that the above answers this in the affirmative.

Corollary 7.3. *The following fusion frames exist:*

1. *For every $m \geq n$, there is a tight frame $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^m$ for $\mathbb{C}^n$ and then for $W_i = S_{v_i}$, $\{W_i, \|v_i\|\}_{i=1}^m$ is a tight fusion frame of two dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.*
2. *For every $m \geq n$, there is an equal norm Parseval frame $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^m$ for $\mathbb{C}^n$ (and so $\|v_i\|^2 = \frac{n}{m}$) and then for $W_i = S_{v_i}$, $\{W_i, \sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}\}_{i=1}^m$ is a tight fusion frame of two dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.*

And for the general case we have,

Theorem 7.4. *If $\{W_i, a_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be a fusion frame for $\mathbb{C}^n$ with dim $W_i = k_i$ for $i \in [m]$, with fusion frame bounds A, B then $\{V_i, a_i\}_{i=1}^m$, the induced $2k_i$-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, form a fusion frame in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ with fusion frame bounds A, B.*

Proof. Let Q_i (respectively, P_i) be the projection onto W_i (respectively, V_i). By the proof of Proposition 5.10 we have for all $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$: $\|Q_i x\| = \|P_i x'\|$. It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 \|Q_i x\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 \|P_i x'\|^2.$$

This proves the result. □

8. Classifying Norm Retrieval

We will give a theorem similar to the Edidin Theorem but which classifies norm retrieval.

Definition 8.1. *A family of projections $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$ on $\mathbb{H}^n$ does* **norm retrieval** *if whenever $x, y \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $\|P_i x\| = \|P_i y\|$, for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, we have that $\|x\| = \|y\|$.*

It is immediate that if $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$ does phase retrieval then it does norm retrieval. The converse fails since orthonormal bases do norm retrieval and must fail phase retrieval. The importance of norm retrieval is that [9] if a family of projections $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$ does phase retrieval on $\mathbb{H}^n$ then $\{(I - P_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ does phase retrieval if and only if it does norm retrieval.

Theorem 8.2. *Given projections $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ the following are equivalent:*

1. *$\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$ does norm retrieval.*

(2) *For every* $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, *we have*

$$[span\ \{P_i x\}]^\perp \subset x^\perp.$$

(3) *For every* $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, *we have that* $x \in span\ \{P_i x\}_{i=1}^m$.

Proof. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): We will prove the contrapositive. If norm retrieval fails, then there are vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|P_i x\| = \|P_i y\|$ for all $i = 12, \ldots, m$ but $\|x\| \neq \|y\|$. This implies if $v = x + y$ and $w = x - y$ then v, w are not orthogonal. But $w \in [span\ \{P_i v\}_{i=1}^m]^\perp$, so property (2) fails.

(2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3): This is immediate.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): Again by the contrapositive, there exists v, w which are not orthogonal (so $w \neq 0$) but $w \perp span\ \{P_i v\}_{i=1}^m$ and so $P_i w \perp P_i v$.. Write $v = x + y$ and $w = x - y$. Since v, w are not orthogonal, $\|x\| \neq \|y\|$. But, since $w \perp P_i v$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_i(v+w)\|^2 &= \langle P_i v + P_i w, P_i v + P_i w \rangle \\ &= \|P_i v\|^2 + \|P_i w\|^2 \\ &= \langle P_i v - P_i w, P_i v - P_i w \rangle = \|P_i(v-w)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

So $\|P_i x\| = \|P_i y\|$ for every i, while $\|x\| \neq \|y\|$. I.e. $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$ fails norm retrieval. □

In general, it is difficult to show that projections $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$ do norm retrieval (especially if they fail phase retrieval) and even more difficult to show that this passes to $\{(I - P_i)\}_{i=1}^m$. It is known that, in general, $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$ may do norm retrieval (even phase retrieval) while $\{(I - P_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ fails norm retrieval [24]. We will now give a slightly weaker sufficient condition for norm retrieval (respectively, phase retrieval) to pass from $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$ to $\{(I - P_i)\}_{i=1}^m$.

Theorem 8.3. *Let* $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$ *be projections on* $\mathbb{R}^n$. *The following are equivalent:*

(1) $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^m$ *does norm retrieval and for every* $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *there are scalars* $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \neq 1$ *so that* $y = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i P_i y$.
(2) $\{(I - P_i)\}_{i=1}^m$ *does norm retrieval and for every* $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *there are scalars* $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \neq 1$ *so that* $y = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i (I - P_i) y$.

Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2): Given $0 \neq y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, choose $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^m$ to that $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i P_i y = y$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \neq 1$. Then,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m a_i (I - P_i) y = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i \right) y - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i P_i y = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i - 1 \right) y.$$

So

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{a_i}{\sum_{i=1}^m a_i - 1} (I - P_i) y.$$

Also,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{a_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i - 1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i - 1} \neq 1,$$

So $y \in span\ \{(I - P_i)y\}_{i=1}^{m}$. I.e. $\{(I - P_i)\}_{i=1}^{m}$ does norm retrieval.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1): By symmetry. □

Theorem 8.4. *Let projections $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^{m}$ do phase retrieval in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The following holds:*

If for every $0 \neq y$ there exist scalars $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^{m}$ so that $y = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i P_i y$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \neq 1$, then $\{(I - P_i)\}_{i=1}^{m}$ does phase retrieval.

Proof. By Theorem 8.3, $\{(I - P_i)\}_{i=1}^{m}$ does norm retrieval and hence phase retrieval. □

Corollary 8.5. *If $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^{m}$ does norm retrieval (respectively, phase retrieval) on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ either there exists $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \neq 1$ and $y = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i P_i y$ or there exists $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \neq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i P_i y = 0$, then $\{(I - P_i)\}_{i=1}^{m}$ does norm retrieval (respectively, phase retrieval.*

Proof. If $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i P_i y = y$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \neq 1$ then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i (I - P_i)y = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i\right) y - \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i P_i y = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i - 1\right) y.$$

So $y \in span\ \{(I - P_i)y\}_{i=1}^{m}$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i \neq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i P_i y = 0$, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i (I - P_i)y = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i\right) y - \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i P_i y = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i\right) y,$$

and so $y \in span\ \{(I - P_i)y\}_{i=1}^{m}$. □

9. Equiangular and Biangular Frames

In this section we will see how our association changes equiangular and biangular tight frames in $\mathbb{C}^n$ into equiangular and biangular tight fusion frames in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

Definition 9.1. *A family of unit vectors $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^{m}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ or $\mathbb{C}^n$ is said to be k-angular if there are constants $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \cdots > \alpha_k \geq 0$ so that*

$$\{|\langle \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle| : i \neq j\}| = \{\alpha_i : i = 1, 2, \ldots, k\}$$

Similarly, a family of subspaces $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^{m}$ with respective projections $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^{m}$ is $k-$ angular if

$$|\{\langle P_i, P_j \rangle| : i \neq j\}| = \{\alpha_i : i = 1, 2, \ldots, k\}.$$

If $k = 1$, we call this equiangular and if $k = 2$ we call this biangular.

Heath and Strohmer [18] (See also [6, 20]) made a detailed analysis of this class of frames and related this to several areas of research. Wooters and Fields [23] use them (not under this name) to obtain *unbiased* measurements to determine the state (or density operator) of a quantum system. Grassmannian frames also arise in communication theory. In [19] Grassmannian frames are used for low-bit rate channel feedback in MIMO systems. It also arises in **Welsh bound equality** sequences [21].

The main problem in this area of research is that very few equiangular/biangular tight frames are known. It is known that the number of equiangular lines in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is less than or equal to $n(n+1)/2$ [10] but these bounds are rarely achieved. For example, the maximal number of equiangular lines in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is just 28 for all . $7 \leq d \leq 14$ [10]. In the complex case, the maximal number of equiangular lines in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is n^2 [10]. It is an open problem whether this number is always attained. We will now show how to transfer equiangular lines from $\mathbb{C}^n$ to equiangular fusion frames of two dimensional subspaces of (respectively, equiangular families of rank 2 projections) $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. This provides many more equiangular sets to deal with in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ if researchers can live with rank 2 projections instead of vectors. For example, there are only 28 equiangular lines in $\mathbb{R}^{14}$, but there are 15 equiangular families of rank 2 projections in $\mathbb{R}^{14}$.

Theorem 9.2. *If a unit norm tight frame $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^m$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is equiangular, then the rank 2 projections P_i onto S_{v_i} in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ form an equiangular tight fusion frame in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.*

Proof. We just observe that

$$|\langle \phi_i, \phi_j \rangle|^2 = 2\|P_i \phi_j'\|^2 = tr\ P_i P_j = \langle P_i, P_j \rangle.$$

□

Similarly we have:

Theorem 9.3. *If a unit norm tight frame $\{\phi_i\}_{i=1}^m$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ is k-angular, then the rank 2 projections P_i onto S_{ϕ_i} in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ form a k-angular tight fusion frame in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$.*

References

[1] R. Balan, Y. Wang, *Invertibility and robustness of phaseless reconstruction*, **38** No. 3 (2015) 469-488.

[2] R. Balan, P.G. Casazza, and D. Edidin, *On Signal Reconstruction Without Phase*, Appl. and Compt. Harmonic Analysis, **20**, No. 3, (2006) 345-356.

[3] A. Bandeira, J. Cahill, D. Mixon, A.A. Nelson, *Saving phase: injectivity and stability for phase retrieval*, Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis, **37** No. 1 (2014) 106-125.

[4] R. H. Bates and D. Mnyama, *The status of practical Fourier phase retrieval*, Advances in Electronics and Electron Physics, **67** (1986), 1-64.

[5] C. Becchetti and L. P. Ricotti, *Speech recognition theory and C++ implementation*, Wiley (1999).

[6] B. Bodmann and J. Haas, *Achieving the orthoplex bound in C^K and constructing weighted complex projective 2-design* , Preprint.
[7] P. J. Cameron and J. J. Seidel, *Quadratic forms over GF(2)*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 76=Indag. Math., **35**(1973) 18.
[8] P.G. Casazza and G. Kutyniok, *Frames of Subspaces*, Contemporary Math, Vol. 345 (2004) pp. 87-114.
[9] J. Cahill, P. Casazza, K. Peterson, L. Woodland, *Phase retrieval by projections*, Available online: arXiv:1305.6226.
[10] P. Delsarte, J. M. Goethals, and J. J. Seidel. *Bounds for systems of lines, and Jacobi polynomials*, Philips Research Reports, (1975) 30-91.
[11] J. Drenth, *Principles of protein x-ray crystallography*, Springer, 2010.
[12] D. Edidin, *Projections and phase retrieval*, Appl. and Comput. Harmonic Analysis, **42**, No. 2, (2017) 350-359.
[13] J. R. Fienup, *Reconstruction of an object from the modulus of its fourier transform*, Optics Letters, **3** (1978), 27-29.
[14] J. R. Fienup, *Phase retrieval algorithms: A comparison*, Applied Optics, **21** (15) (1982), 2758-2768.
[15] G. Kutyniok, A. Pezeshki, R. Calderbank, and T. Liu. *Robust Dimension Reduction, Fusion Frames, and Grassmannian Packings*, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 26 (2009), 64-76.
[16] L. Rabiner, and B. H. Juang, *Fundamentals of speech recognition*, Prentice Hall Signal Processing Series (1993).
[17] J. M. Renes, R. Blume-Kohout, A. J. Scott, and C. M. Caves, *Symmetric Informationally Complete Quantum Measurements*, J. Math. Phys., **45** (2004), 2171-2180.
[18] T. Strohmer and R.W. Heath. *Grassmannian frames with applications to coding and communication*, Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis 14 (2003) 257-275.
[19] T. Shrohmer, *Grassmannian beamforming for multiple-input multiple-output wireless systems*. IEEE Transactions on Information Theory **49** No. 10 (2003) 2735-2747.
[20] M.A. Sustik, J.A. Trop, I.S. Dhillon and R.W. Heath, Jr, *On the existence of equiangular tight frames*, Linear Alg. and Applications, **426** No. 2-3 (2007) 619-635.
[21] S. Waldren, *Generalized Welsh bound equality sequences are tight frames*, IEEE Transactions on Information Theory **49** No. 9 (2003) 2307-2309.
[22] Y. Wang and Z. Xu, *Generalized phase retrieval: measurement number, matrix recovery and beyond*. Arxiv 1605.08034.
[23] W. K. Wootters and B. D. Fields, *Optimal state-determination by mutually unbiased measurements*, Ann. Physics, **191** No. 2 (1989)363381.
[24] S. Botelho-Andrade, P.G. Casazza, D. Cheng, J. Haas, T. Tran, J.C. Tremain, and Z. Xu, *Phase retrieval by projections*, Preprint.

Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO 65211-4100

E-mail address: casazzap@missouri.edu, chengdesai@yahoo.com